

RNFC
MAY 30 2014

J. C. ADAMS, JR.
Phone 806/266-5582
109 West Washington
MORTON, TEXAS 79346-2536

May 28, 2014

Mr. Kyle G. Ingham
c/o Panhandle Regional Planning Commission
Groundwater Management Area #1
P.O. Box 9257
Amarillo, Texas 79105

Re: Comments regarding desired future conditions

Dear GMA #1:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on desired future conditions. I am a land and groundwater owner in Cochran and Yoakum Counties Texas.

I believe the statute creating a requirement for desired future conditions (DFC) is poorly thought out for two reasons.

One, because the statute first refers to a DFC quantity, then in midstream switches to a DFC condition. Quantities and Conditions have different meanings and those differences create confusion.

Two, it is impossible to determine with information good enough to hold up in court a fractional amount of an indeterminable whole. That is, one-half of an unknown is still an unknown.

A desired future condition that is voluntary is one thing, but a mandatory desired future condition constitutes central control of private property, a long ago discredited economic system Karl Marx wrote of in the last two pages of Chapter Two of the Communist Manifesto. Marx said that central control leads to increased total production, but he lied. As Ted Cruz noted in an address to the Hilldale College not long ago, the late British economist Angus Maddison observed that America's system of private property and economic freedom lead to the highest per capita income in the world since the 1830's.

This shows that private dominion and control of property is the secret to America's exceptionalism from Marx' Communism.

As I stated in the earlier GMA #1 meeting, the balance in Water Code 36.108 (d-2) ["(d-2) The desired future conditions proposed under Subsection (d) must provide a balance between the highest practicable level of groundwater production and the conservation, preservation, protection, recharging, and prevention of waste of groundwater and control of subsidence in the management area."] is illegitimate because it creates an irreconcilable conflict between the individual producer and against the preservation/conservation of the aquifer for the common good that includes downstream flows and bait fish. Ayn Rand warns that the common good is a concept that leads to subhuman misery and enslavement.

For example, California people have been cutting back and cutting back on water usage, but the state recently released into the ocean enough water for 500,000 people for one year..... during a drought in order to benefit fish, so they said. Human needs losing out to the needs of fish means a loss of human dignity that our Founders did not authorize.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on desired future conditions.

Sincerely

J. C. Adams, Jr.

JCAjr/lr

J. COLLIER ADAMS, JR.
109 W. WASHINGTON AVE
MORTON, TEXAS 79346

LUBBOCK TX 794

20 MAY 2014 PM 2 L



Mr. Kyle G. Ingham
c/o Panhandle Regional Planning Commission
Groundwater Management Area #1
P.O. Box 9257
Amarillo, TX 79105

7910539257

