

Recommended Water Management Strategy Name	Capital Cost	Strategy Supplies 2010	Strategy Supplies 2020	Strategy Supplies 2030	Strategy Supplies 2040	Strategy Supplies 2050	Strategy Supplies 2060	WMS Supply Volume Listed with Another Strategy?
Municipal conservation	\$0	0	24	71	114	107	102	N
Drill additional groundwater well	\$9,528,800	700	1,400	2,100	2,800	2,800	3,800	N
Irrigation conservation	\$0	0	53,755	98,786	110,553	111,772	111,772	N
Voluntary transfers from other users	\$0	0	0	644	1,415	2,159	2,863	Y

Recommended Water Management Strategy Name	MAXIMUM SCORES -->		Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility					
	10	10	10	20	400	5	5	10	5	25	100	
	Rural/Agricultural Conservation?	Conservation/Reuse?	Uniform Standard 1A - What is the decade the RWP shows the project comes online? [2060 = 0 points; 2050 = 2; 2040 = 4; 2030 = 6; 2020 = 8; 2010 = 10]	Uniform Standard 1B - In what decade is initial funding needed? [2060 = 0 points; 2050 = 2; 2040 = 4; 2030 = 6; 2020 = 8; 2010 = 10]	Criteria 1 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 1 Total	Uniform Standard 2A - What supporting data is available to show that the quantity of water needed is available? [Models suggest insufficient quantities of water or no modeling performed = 0 points; models suggest sufficient quantity of water = 3; Field tests and measurements confirm sufficient quantities of water = 5]	Uniform Standard 2B - If necessary, does the sponsor hold necessary legal rights, water rights and/or contracts to use the water that this project would require? [Legal rights, water rights and/or contract application not submitted = 0 points; application submitted = 2; application is administratively complete = 3; legal rights, water rights and/or contracts obtained or not needed = 5]	Uniform Standard 2C - What level of engineering and/or planning has been accomplished for this project? [Project idea is outlined in RWP = 1 point; feasibility studies initiated = 2; feasibility studies completed = 3; conceptual design initiated = 4; conceptual design completed = 5; preliminary engineering report initiated = 6; preliminary engineering report completed = 7; preliminary design initiated = 8; preliminary design completed = 9; final design complete = 10]	Uniform Standard 2D - Has the project sponsor requested (in writing for the 2016 Plan) that the project be included in the Regional Water Plan? [No = 0 points; yes = 5]	Criteria 2 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 2 Total
Municipal conservation			8	10	18	360	0	5	1	5	11	44
Drill additional groundwater well			10	10	20	400	5	5	8	5	23	92
Irrigation conservation			8	10	18	360	3	5	3	0	11	44
Voluntary transfers from other users			6	8	14	280	3	5	1	5	14	56

Recommended Water Management Strategy Name	Criteria 3 - Project Viability						Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability					
	100	10	100	10	5	5	30	250	10	5	15	150
	Uniform Standard 3A - In the decade the project supply comes online, what is the % of the WUG's (or WUGs') needs satisfied by this project? [Calculation is based on the needs of all WUGs receiving water from the project.]	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	Uniform Standard 3B - In the final decade of the planning period, what is the % of the WUG's (or WUGs') needs satisfied by this project? [Calculation is based on the needs of all WUGs receiving water from the project.]	Converted Needs-based score for Uniform Standard 3A	Uniform Standard 3C - Is this project the only economically feasible source of new supply for the WUG, other than conservation? [No = 0 points; Yes = 5]	Uniform Standard 3D - Does this project serve multiple WUGs? [No = 0 points; Yes = 5]	Criteria 3 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 3 Total	Uniform Standard 4A - Over what period of time is this project expected to provide water (regardless of the planning period)? [Less than or equal to 20 yrs = 5 points; greater than 20 yrs = 10]	Uniform Standard 4B - Does the volume of water supplied by the project change over the regional water planning period? [Decreases = 0 points; no change = 3; increases = 5]	Criteria 4 Total Score	Weighted Criteria 4 Total
Municipal conservation	100.00	10.00	52.04	5.20	5.00	0	20.20	168.37	10	5	15.00	150
Drill additional groundwater well	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5.00	0	25.00	208.33	10	5	15.00	150
Irrigation conservation	29.78	2.98	78.67	7.87	5.00	0	15.84	132.04	10	5	15.00	150
Voluntary transfers from other users	100.00	10.00	100.00	10.00	5.00	0	25.00	208.33	10	5	15.00	150

Recommended Water Management Strategy Name	Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiveness		FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT
	5	100	1000.00
	Uniform Standard 5A - What is the expected unit cost of water supplied by this project compared to the median unit cost of all other recommended strategies in the region's current RWP? (Project's Unit Cost divided by the median project's unit cost) [200% or greater than median = 0 points; 150% to 199% = 1; 101% to 149% = 2; 100% = 3; 51% to 99% = 4; 0% to 50% = 5]	Weighted Criteria 5 Total	
Municipal conservation	3	60	782.37
Drill additional groundwater well	4	80	930.33
Irrigation conservation	5	100	786.04
Voluntary transfers from other users	5	100	794.33